

CENTRAL PHILIPPINE UNIVERSITY Jaro, Iloilo City

STAFF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Position/Title :						
Unit :						
Date of Evaluation :						
Type of Evaluation Annual End of Probationary Period	Othe	ers .				
Instructions: *Encircle the equivalent score of the statement that best describes the employee's justification of the statement of the statement of the encircle	ob pe	rforr	nan	се		
5= Does it all the time 2= Does it sometimes 4= Does it most of the time 3= Does it often times 2= Does it sometimes 1= Never/rarely does it						
I. PROFESSIONAL SKILLS/KNOWLEDGE (50%)						
A. Job Knowledge(10%)	-	1				
 Knows and understands assigned duties, responsibilities, benefits and privileges as a CPU employee. 	5	4	3	2	1	
Understands and obeys verbal and/or written instructions.	5	4	3	2	1	
 Shows practical/technical knowledge to accomplish task and to handle equipment and other material resources of the university. 	5	4	3	2	1	
Performs work with minimal supervision.	5	4	3	2	1	
Shows improvement in performing given tasks.	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Sc	ore (sum			າຣ)	
Average Score(sum of items /total	`					
Weighted Average (av					,	
B. Quality (10 %)	<u> </u>				- /	
Works in an accurate manner.	5	4	3	2	1	
Displays thoroughness and completeness in work activity,	5	4	3	2	1	
Recognizes and points out poor workmanship.	5	4	3	2	1	
 Takes proper care of equipment, fixtures, including dispensable Resources. 	5	4	3	2	1	
Keeps work area clean.	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Sco	ore (sum	of	item	1S)	
Average Score(sum of items /total	num	ber	of i	tem	s)	
Weighted Average (av	erage	e sc	ore	x .1	0)	
C. Productivity (15%)						
Completes work within the time schedule,	5	4	3	2	1	
Utilizes the time in completing his/her task.	5	4	3	2	1	
Knows how to prioritize work assignments.	5	4	3	2	1	
Delivers assigned tasks correctly.	5	4	3	2	1	
Works diligently to complete assigned tasks.	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Sco	•					
Average Score(sum of items /total					,	
Weighted Average (av	erage	e sc	ore	x .1	5)	
D. Dependability, Stewardship & Trustworthiness (15%)	E	1	2	2	1	
 Exhibits skills in problem solving. Observes confidentiality when required. 	5 5	4	3	2	1	
2. Observes commentativ when required.	10	4	J			1

CPU-HRD-Form 39

Employee's Name

Rev. 03 Effectivity: June 1, 2024

3. Shows willingness to put in extra time and effort.

4.	Avoids personal activities during office hours.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Uses university resources (time, money, equipment) for their						
	appropriate purposes.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Scor						
	Average Score(sum of items /total n					,	
	Weighted Average (aver						
II DE	SUB TOTAL (sum of the we	ight	ea	ave	rage	9)	
	RSONAL ATTRIBUTES/INTERPERSONAL SKILLS (30%)						
1.	Teamwork (10%) Works well with supervisor and peers.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Sets an example with a positive and supportive attitude.	5	4	3	2	1	
	<u> </u>						
	Promotes harmonious relationship in the work place. Shares job knowledge and skills to assist others.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Total Scor	_	•			125	
	Average Score(sum of items /total n						
	Weighted Average (aver						
В.	Customer Service (10%)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		-,	
1.	Maintains positive relations with co-workers within the unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Establishes good relations with co-workers from other units.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.		5	4	3	2	1	
	Shows courtesy and respect in communication and other interaction	3	4	3		ı	
''	with University employees and other contacts.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Takes extra effort to satisfy customer needs and expectations.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Scor	e (sum	of	iten	1s)	
	Average Score(sum of items /total n	num	ber	of i	tem	s)	
	Weighted Average (aver	age	e sc	ore	X.1	10)	
	Initiative/Creativity (5%)			•	1		
1.	Seeks out new assignments when finished with own work.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Assumes additional responsibilities when needed.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Does what must be done without being told.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	7 0 0	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Identifies and corrects errors during the work process.	5			2		
	Total Scor	•				•	
	Average Score(sum of items /total n					,	
	Weighted Average (aver	age	e sc	ore	χ.υ	<i>)</i> 5)	
	Interpersonal/Organizational Sensitivity (5%)	<i> </i> -	1	_	_	4	
1.	Manages emotions and does not lose control when under pressure.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes sound decisions to solve problems or concerns within one's						
	authority.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Adapts easily to changes.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Listens, reflects, and responds graciously to constructive criticism.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Scor						
	Average Score(sum of items /total n	um	ber	of i	tem	s)	
	Weighted Average (aver	age	e sc	ore	x .()5)	
	SUB TOTAL (sum of the we	ight	ed	ave	rage	e)	
III. CO	MMITMENT TO THE UNIVERSITY (20%)						
Α.	Attendance (5%)						
1.	Reports and leaves workplace on time.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Works on a regular basis and has never been absent outside	F	_	2	•	4	
2	Allowable leave credits.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Observes leave procedures and rules when absent. Observes generally agreed work break/meal periods.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Participates regularly in University activities.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Total Scor					1e)	
	i otai Scoi	⊂ (;	ull	UI.	ıı c ii	iO)	l

CPU-HRD-Form 39 Rev. 03 Effectivity: June 1, 2024

Average Score(sum of items /total r.	num	ber	of i	item	is)	
Weighted Average (average)	rage	e sc	ore	x .(D5)	
B. Policy Compliance (15%)						
Adheres to all company policies and regulations.	5	4	3	2	1	
Practices proper safety procedures and health protocols.	5	4	3	2	1	
Attends in applicable university meetings.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Follows university dress codes.	5	4	3	2	1	
Participates actively in assigned committee work.	5	4	3	2	1	
Understands and supports the CPU Vision, Mission, Core Values, quality policy and objectives.	5	4	3	2	1	
7. Understands and supports efforts of the University to maintain its autonomous status, ISO certification, etc.	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Scor	е (а	sum	of	iten	าร)	
Average Score(sum of items /total r	num	ber	of i	item	is)	
Weighted Average (average)	rage	e sc	ore	Χ.	15)	
SUB TOTAL (sum of the we	ight	ed	ave	rag	e)	
OVER	-AL	L R	AT	ING	;	

SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS AND OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING

AREA	Weighted Average	SUB- TOTAL	OVERALL RATING Supervisor's Comments re: Rating (Comments for this portion are required)
I. PROFESSIONAL SKILLS / KNOWLEDGE			
A. Knowledge			
B. Quality			
C. Productivity			
D. Dependability, Stewardship & Trustworthiness			
II. PERSONAL ATTRIBUTES/ INTERPERSONAL SKILLS			
A. Teamwork			
B. Customer Service			
C. Initiative / Creativity			
D. Organizational Sensitivity			
III. COMMITMENT TO THE UNIVERSITY			
A. Attendance			
B. Policy Compliance			
OVER-AL	L RATING		

Evaluation Scale:

4.26 - 5	Exceeds Standards
3.51- 4.25	Meets Standards
3 - 3.50	Moving Towards Standards
Below 3	Did Not Meet Standards

CPU-HRD-Form 39 Rev. 03 Effectivity: June 1, 2024

PERFORMANCE ACTIO	NI DI ANI	
	es/Initiatives (to be identified by supervisor a	and amployee)
Objective	Resources/Support Needed	Time Frame
B. Professional Developr	ment Plan (to be filled out by the supervisor)	
Objective	Resources/Support Needed	Time Frame
Comments of Evaluator	/Supervisor regarding Objectives and De	velonment Plan
	/Supervisor regarding Objectives and Dev	velopment Plan
REVIEW OF JOB DESCFThe employee's job do made for the coming evaluation The employee's job do The amended job descript personal (201) file.	RIPTION escription has been reviewed for accuracy.	NO CHANGES were nd changes were made ith the employee's
REVIEW OF JOB DESCRThe employee's job domade for the coming evaluation. The employee's job down the amended job descript personal (201) file Job Performance defit the employee. SIGNATURES I understand that my signal Action Plan and my job with the signal	RIPTION escription has been reviewed for accuracy. uation. escription has been reviewed for accuracy a tion has been discussed, signed and filled w	NO CHANGES were nd changes were made ith the employee's luation and discussed vere
REVIEW OF JOB DESCRThe employee's job domade for the coming evaluation. The employee's job down the amended job descript personal (201) file Job Performance defit the employee. SIGNATURES I understand that my signal Action Plan and my job with the signal	RIPTION escription has been reviewed for accuracy. uation. escription has been reviewed for accuracy a tion has been discussed, signed and filled w iciencies have been documented in this eval ature indicated that I have read and discusse ith my supervisor. It does not necessarily m	NO CHANGES were nd changes were made ith the employee's luation and discussed vere

CPU-HRD-Form 39 Rev. 03 Effectivity: June 1, 2024